- 1		
1	DAVID LOY, Cal. Bar No. 229235 ANN CAPPETTA, Cal. Bar No. 354079	
2		
3	San Rafael, CA 94901-3334 Telephone: 415.460.5060	
4	Facsimile: 415.460.5155 Email: 415.460.5155 dloy@firstamendmentcoalition.org	
5	acappetta@firstamendmentcoalition	n.org
6	KEL MCCLANAHAN (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) NATIONAL SECURITY COUNSELORS	
7	1451 Rockville Pike, Suite 250 Rockville, MD 20852	
8	Telephone: 501.301.4672 Email: kel@nationalsecuritylaw.org	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs MSW MEDIA, INC. and	
10	FIRST AMENDMENT COALITION	
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	MOWIMEDIA DIG. 1 FIDGT	C N 2.25 02001 AMO
15	MSW MEDIA, INC., and FIRST AMENDMENT COALITION,	Case No. 3:25-cv-02881-AMO
16 17	Plaintiffs,	JOINT MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 7-11
18	v.	TO PERMIT REMOTE APPEARANCE AT JULY 2, 2025 INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
19	UNITED STATES DOGE SERVICE, and OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND	Date: July 2, 2025
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 20 \end{vmatrix}$	BUDGET,	Time: 10:00 a.m. Location: Courtroom 10, 19th Floor
21	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. Araceli Martínez-Olguín
22		Complaint Filed: March 28, 2025 FAC Filed: April 10, 2025
23		1110 1 1100.
24	TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRIC	T COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
25		
26	Plaintiffs MSW Media, Inc. and First Amendment Coalition ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants	
27	United States DOGE Service and Office of Management and Budget ("Defendants") (collectively	
28	the "Parties") bring this motion for administrative relief pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and this Court	

2 3

1

4 5

6 7

8 9

11 12

10

13

14 15

16

17

19

18

20

21 22

23

24 25

27

standing order to respectfully request permission to allow counsel for Plaintiffs to appear and participate remotely and via Zoom at the Initial Case Management Conference (Dkt. 8) currently set for July 2, 2025 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 10 before The Honorable Judge Araceli Martínez-Olguín.

Plaintiffs submit that good cause exists for this request because requiring an in-person appearance for an initial case management conference would impose a significant cost on Plaintiffs' counsel. None of Plaintiffs' counsel reside or work in the San Francisco area. Kel McClanahan would need to pay approximately \$700 in travel and lodging expenses to travel from Rockville, MD. David Loy would need to pay approximately \$350 in travel and lodging expenses to travel from San Diego. Ann Cappetta would need to pay approximately \$400 in travel and lodging expenses to travel from Sacramento. In addition, such travel would require any of Plaintiffs' counsel who appeared to stay at least one night in a hotel, not to mention the actual travel time. While a party may reasonably be expected to expend such time and financial resources for a substantive hearing involving the examination of witnesses, it is excessive to impose such a burden on a party for a purely procedural matter such as an initial case management conference.

Defendants do not request to appear remotely and will appear in person or virtually, subject to the Court's preference and convenience.¹

The instant request is made by a joint Administrative Motion in accordance with Section C(2) of the Court's Standing Order for Civil Cases.

¹ The undersigned counsel for Defendants works in the Phillip Burton Federal Building, and therefore good cause does not exist for his own remote appearance. Counsel for Defendants previously communicated to counsel for Plaintiffs that it would not oppose, and would stipulate to, Plaintiffs' request for a virtual case management conference and that Plaintiffs could represent this position to the Court but did not consent to language suggesting that Defendants requested a remote appearance for their own benefit. Plaintiffs apologize to the Court for the inadvertent misunderstanding.

Document 27

Filed 06/12/25

Page 3 of 3

Case 3:25-cv-02881-AMO